

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	. ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/006,308	12/06/2001	Kin Doc	ADAPP201A	2794	
25920 MARTINE PE	7590 12/19/2006 NILLA & GENCARELLA,	EXAMINER			
710 LAKEWAY DRIVE			CHAI, LONGBIT.		
SUITE 200 SUNNYVALE	E. CA 94085	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
		•	2131		
	•				
			. MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
	•		12/19/2006	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

y e

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/006,308	DOE ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Longbit Chai	2131	

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Longbit Chai	2131				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 20 November 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	HE REPLY FILED 20 November 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.					
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In						
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN						
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing date.	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offite of the final rejection,	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as even if timely filed,			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th				
AMENDMENTS	to the transfer that the second fill and a best of					
 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. 						
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		March Elad amandar				
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 	llowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:		ill be entered and an o	explanation of			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-7,9,10 and 12-23</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, by because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	ut before or on the date of filing a N id sufficient reasons why the affida	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary and			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	hed.			
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11 ↑ The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allows	noo boonuso:			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>						
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. Other:						
	·					
,						

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The new limitations were clearly not present in the claims and entry of this language would require reopening of prosecution for additional search or reconsideration.

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100